

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,184	03/09/2000	Henry Li	36941/CAG/B600	2290	
23363 7:	590 06/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/522,184	LI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication com	David R. Vincent	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14,16-26,28-33 and 35-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,3-5, 7-8, 10-14,16-18, 2023, 25-26	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5, 7-8, 10-14,16-18, 2023, 25-26,28-33 and 35-39, 41, 43-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 6,9,19,24,40 and 42 is/are objected to	□ Claim(s) <u>6,9,19,24,40 and 42</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/1/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/522,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is well known to distinguish fax data from DTMF/voice data by detecting tones (see Arimilli).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 10-14, 16-18, 20-23, 25-26, 28-31, and 35-39, 41, 43-47, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arimilli (US 6,515,984 of record) in view of Murphy (US 2002/0036791 of record), as specified in the previous office action.

#### Response to Arguments

The applicant argues the newly added limitation of detecting presence of modulated or voice-band data by detecting a predetermined tone.

In response, Arimilli discloses the newly added limitation of detecting presence of modulated or voice-band data by detecting a predetermined tone (e.g., col. 10, lines 8-19).

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. Claims, 31-33, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Arimilli and Murphy, as set forth above, in view of Chen (US 6,611,531), as specified in the previous office action.
- 4. Claims 6, 9, 19, 24, 40, and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The previous office action stated "inserting comfort noise (claims 9-14, especially claim 12), as specified in claims 6, 19, 40, 9, 24, 42" and clearly this was a typographical error. Although, the reference Murphy ('791) does disclose a comfort noise generator (see e.g., Murphy: claims 12-14), Murphy does not have support for this claimed limitation.

Art Unit: 3628

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R. Vincent whose telephone number is 571 272 3080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be

Application/Control Number: 09/522,184 Page 5

Art Unit: 3628

reached on 571 272 6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David R Vincent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3628

June 13, 2005